Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 170

DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661
M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
PERKINS COIE LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
Telephone: (415) 344-7000
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com
Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' THIRD MOTION TO SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(d) PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION MEMORANDUM (DOCUMENT NOS. 140-142)

Date: June 11, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 8
Judge: Honorable James Ware

Dockets.Justia.com

ORDER

The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Third Motion To Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) Portions Of Plaintiffs' Opposition Memorandum Due To Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Third Motion to Seal Document") (Document Nos. 140-142), the Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' Third Motion to Seal Document, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause appearing:

1. That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in Plaintiffs' Third Motion to Seal Document, in connection with Plaintiffs' Memorandum In Opposition to Defendant Google Inc.'s Supplemental Brief in Support of Summary Judgment Motion ("Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition Memorandum"), include information and testimony that Google has designated confidential; and

2. That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition Memorandum is subject to seal because of overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in these documents.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Plaintiffs' Third Motion to Seal Document is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: ____________________ ____

The Honorable James Ware
United States District Judge